W

Environmental Health, Racial/Ethnic Health Disparity, and Climate Impacts of Inter Regional Freight Transport in the United States

Maninder Thind, Chris Tessum, Julian Marshall International Aerosol Modeling Algorithms Conference 2023 December 6, 2023



Acknowledgments

• Published in Environmental Science & Technology (Thind et al., 2023)





Link to Paper

• Funding: U.S EPA: Center for Air, Climate, and Energy Solutions (CACES)





Research question

If a unit mass of freight is shipped from an origin to a destination, how do impacts on human health, climate, and exposure/human health disparity vary by mode of travel?



Method: reduced-complexity, high spatial-resolution AQ model for annual average PM_{2.5}

• Mechanistic modeling approach



Interventional Model for Air Pollution (InMAP)

Tessum, C. W.; Hill, J. D.; Marshall, J. D. InMAP: A model for air pollution interventions. PLoS ONE 2017, 12 (4)



damages using a Value of

Statistical Life metric.

are exposed to PM2, even

when the groups live in

adjacent neighborhoods.

Using epidemiological concentration-response functions, InMAP calculates the health impacts of the emissions.

Emissions input to InMAP

Non-aircraft modes

(11ppm)

Aircraft















Cruise emission factors

Geospatial allocation of emissions to routes



Side projection of simplified Landing and Take-off cycle

Results

Pairwise impacts from each origin-destination (O-D) pair



Comparison among modes



mode	<i>n</i> (number of O–D pairs)	deaths per megatonne <mark>a</mark>			<u>b</u>
		urban	rural	combined	percentage urban-impact routes (%) <u>b</u>
truck	16,293	0.27	0.30	0.57	32
rail	4875	0.37	0.42	0.79	32
barge	732	0.19	0.26	0.45	19
aircraft	7913	0.72	0.40	1.11	85

^{*}Overall average for that mode ("combined"), subdivided into impacts to urban and rural populations. Values reflect, where the impacts occur (urban versus rural), attributable to total emissions. Numbers given here might not sum because of rounding.

^bPercent of O–D pairs for which health impacts are greater in urban than in rural areas.

PM_{2.5} health impacts by race-ethnicity



Monetized damages

CO₂ social cost: \$54 per tonne CO₂, VSL: \$9.7 million



Sensitivity analysis of monetized results



Conclusions

- Airplane > train > barge/truck (health)
- Health / EJ / climate
- Importance of urban / peri-urban freight
 - Greater EJ concern; more opportunities for solutions, e.g., electrification
- Future work:
 - Community groups; not just air pollution
 - Technical "solutions" (vehicles/EVs; placement of distribution centers; vehiclerouting)



Value per megatonne, relative to truck (i.e., truck = 1). Based on routes where all four modes operate



THANK YOU!

QUESTIONS?

Extra slides



Pairwise modal comparison of average deaths per megaton by PM_{2.5} precursor type



Figure S17. Pairwise modal comparison of average deaths per megaton by PM_{2.5} precursor type.



Figure S18. Average deaths per megaton by PM_{2.5} precursor type for each mode for all O-D pairs.

Electrification might be the only solution!

- Annual damages from freight movement:
 - ~1400 premature deaths (monetized: \$13 billion)
 - > 150 billion kg CO_2 (monetized: \$8 billion)
 - Health disparity of 0.06 deaths per 100,000 people
- Health and climate impacts are often but not always aligned among modes.
- Level of exposure and disparity among racial-ethnic groups vary in urban versus rural areas.
- E-commerce is booming, so is emissions from freight.
- Important benefits from electrification of interregional (and other) freight transportation, combined with low emission or renewable electricity generation.
- Future work:
 - can look into impacts by different commodity types and value of commodity shipped.
 - explore impacts from within-region freight transport.



Value per megatonne, relative to truck (i.e., truck = 1). Based on routes where all four modes operate



Concentration-Response Function for PM_{2.5}

• Log-linear concentration-response (C-R) function with no threshold derived from the ACS reanalysis study representative of US concentrations and population.

No. of premature deaths = $\left(e^{\left(PM_{25} \text{ Linear Coefficient} \times \left[PM_{25}\right]\right)} - 1\right) \times P \times \frac{All-Cause Mortality Rate}{100,000}$

Here, $PM_{2.5}$ Linear Coefficient = ln(1.078)/10 = 0.007510747, i.e., a 7.8% increase in the number of premature deaths for every 10 ug/m³ increase in the concentration of $PM_{2.5}$. $[PM_{2.5}]$ is the concentration of $PM_{2.5}$; P is total population.

• This C-R function is standard and most widely used in the literature.

We investigated air pollution and climate impacts of freight transportation by mode and.....





20

...first to look at health disparity among demographic groups from freight modes.

Focused on a health-damaging pollutant: fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5})



Chemical processes

- Chemical reaction of gases in the atmosphere
- Oxidation
- Gas- and particlephase partitioning

Distance





Source: U.S. EPA <u>https://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/conference/ei13/mobile/hodan.pdf</u>

Physical processes

- Coagulation
- Nucleation
- Condensation
- Deposition

Resolution

